

Equality Impact Assessment

Name of Project	Haven Day Centre	Cabinet meeting date <i>If applicable</i>	16/12/2014 10/02/2015 16/06/2015 10/11/2015
Service area responsible	Adult Social Services		
Name of completing officer	Donna Simeon	Date EqIA created	Draft 11/11/2014 Draft revised: June 2015 Draft revised: October 2015
Approved by Director / Assistant Director	B.7. Tarka	Date of approval	02/11/2015

The Equality Act 2010 places a 'General Duty' on all public bodies to have 'due regard' to:

- Eliminating discrimination, harassment and victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act
- Advancing equality of opportunity between those with 'protected characteristics' and those without them
- Fostering good relations between those with 'protected characteristics' and those without them.

In addition the Council complies with the Marriage (same sex couples) Act 2013.

Haringey Council also has a 'Specific Duty' to publish information about people affected by our policies and practices.

All assessments must be published on the Haringey equalities web pages. All Cabinet papers <u>MUST</u> include a link to the web page where this assessment will be published.

This Equality Impact Assessment provides evidence for meeting the Council's commitment to equality and the responsibilities outlined above, for more information about the Councils commitment to equality; please visit the Council's website.

Stage 1 – Names of those involved in preparing the EqIA	
1. Transformation Project Manager – Donna Simeon	5.
2. Policy & Equalities Officer – William Shanks	6.
Provider Manager – Stuart Maysmor-Gee	7.
4. Project Officer – Linda Fernandes	8.

Stage 2 - Description of proposal including the relevance of the proposal to the general equality duties and protected groups. Also carry out your preliminary screening (Use the questions in the Step by Step Guide (The screening process) and document your reasoning for deciding whether or not a full EqIA is required. If a full EqIA is required move on to Stage 3.

The recommendation:

Close the Haven day centre and changing the use of the premises to a community re-ablement centre delivered by an alternative provider.

The Haven Day Centre provides a service to Haringey residents over the age of 65 with a physical disability and/or sensory impairment and/or mild/early onset dementia for three days each week and has a two day service for Haringey residents over the age of 50 with Mental health issues. The day centre is limited to a total capacity of 24 people per day; the centre currently provides day opportunities to 48 Haringey Residents. Residents are provided with anything from one day per week to five days per week according to their assessed need.

This proposal to close the Haven Day Centre would require the reassessment or review of the needs of the centre's current service users, with a view to identify satisfactory alternative provision to meet the assessed needs. Service users will be encouraged to use Personal Budgets to access any support required to meet assessed need. Following the reassessment or review, if, for any reason, there are service users who no longer have an eligible need, we would work closely with the service user to identify appropriate support from alternative services.

A second part of the proposal is to turn the building into a Reablement Hub once the day centre has closed. Re-ablement services are for people with poor physical or mental health to help them adjust to their illness by learning or re-learning the skills necessary for daily living. This tailored support allows the individual to regain independence and stay in their own home for longer. Through using the building as a community re-ablement hub delivered by an external provider, we can promote independence for a greater number of adults.

This proposal requires new approaches to service commissioning and seeks to transform the way that services are currently delivered. This will result in a move toward community-based services, where commissioned services result from collaborative working with people who currently use services, those who may use services at some

time in the future, and working with current and future provider markets to ensure the right levels of capacity and quality are in place.

It is our proposal to continue to move away from buildings based day opportunities within the borough to continue to develop community options. The Haven Day Centre supports a relatively low number of adults with an assessed need for day opportunities. Developing the community options and working with projects such as Neighbourhoods Connect will enable a greater number of people to be involved in their local communities.

This EqIA looks at the current service user profile compared to the borough profile. There is insufficient data on carers although it is acknowledged that they will be impacted by the changes as well as the service users. We have engaged with carers during the consultation process to further understand the impact of the proposals on them. Consultation with service users, their families and carers, and other stakeholders, has shown that there is anxiety about the loss of the day centre. We will work with people who use these services, with their families/carers and other key stakeholders, to identify alternative opportunities. Our engagement and consultation with those affected has assisted us to better understand any negative impacts that the proposals might have on individuals and how we might reduce this. The Cabinet report 'Corporate Plan Priority 2 - Outcome of Consultation and decision on proposals relating to adult services' November 2015, considers how individual needs can be accounted for and how we can mitigate any possible negative impacts.

Stage 3 – Scoping Exercise - Employee data used in this Equality Impact Assessment Identify the main sources of the evidence, both quantitative and qualitative, that supports your analysis. This could include for example, data on the Council's workforce, equalities profile of service users, recent surveys, research, results of recent relevant consultations, Haringey Borough Profile, Haringey Joint Strategic Needs Assessment and any other sources of relevant information, local, regional or national.

Data Source (include link where published)	What does this data include?

Stage 4 – Scoping Exercise - Service data used in this Equality Impact Assessment This section to be completed where there is a change to the service provided								
Data Source (include link where published) What does this data include?								
EqIA Profile on Harinet (2011 Key statistics and facts sheet) http://www.haringey.gov.uk/council-and-democracy/about-council/facts-and- figures/statistics/haringey-census-statistics	Sex, Age, Ethnicity, Disability information, Race & Ethnicity, Religion/Belief, Marriage and Civil Partnership – for the Borough of Haringey							
Mosaic Data on The Haven Day Centre service users: as at 17/06/2015	Service Users							
Stage 5a - Considering the above information, what impact will thi	is proposal have on the following groups in terms of impact on							

Details None – why?
There is no restriction by gender across the
service as a whole. We will work with service
users and provider services to ensure suitable
availability of opportunity for both genders.
67% of the responses to the consultation
questionnaire 'strongly did not support' the closur
of the Haven Day Centre.
Detailed feedback to the proposal did not indicate
differing impacts on service users on the grounds
of their sex. There was no difference in response
based on this characteristic.
ble data does The consultation questionnaire asked respondent
icate the status for information regarding this characteristic, the
vice users on pattern of responses did not differ from the
reassignment. general pattern.
Detailed feedback to the proposal did not indicate
differing impacts on service users on the grounds
of their gender reassignment status.
aven Day We recognise that over 50% of service users are
is available to aged 80 years and over and may pose particular
ey residents risk in identifying alternative options within the
e age of 65 community. ays each week
s a two day Feedback from the consultation indicated
for Haringey concerns to the identification of alternative
ts over the age provisions specific to the needs/interests of older
ith eligible adults.
See section 6 for how this risk will be mitigated.

60 to 69	15,900	37.7%	4	7.5%	
70 to 79	10,400	24.6%	20	37.7%	
80 and over	5,300	12.6%	28	52.8%	
Disability					Service users of The Haven Day Centre Physical Frailty or Senory Impairment ar require support with Memory & Cognition conditions
Disability:	Haven	%			
All people	53				Feedback from the consultant identified
Support with					for the safety of the existing service user
Memory &	15	28.3%			accessing alternative activities 2) commu
Cognition					alternative provision, due to their disabilit
Physical Frailty or					See section 6 for how this risk will be mit
Sensory	38	71.7%			
		es not colle	ct detailed	information	
The Office of Nationa on type of disabilities. Religion or Belie Religion or Belief	ef (or No Be	elief)			the service as a whole.
The Office of Nationa on type of disabilities. Religion or Belie Religion or Belief (or No Belief):	ef (or No Be All Haringey	elief) %	Haven	information	We note that the majority of service users Christian belief.
The Office of Nationa on type of disabilities Religion or Belief Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people	ef (or No Be All Haringey 254,926	elief) %	Haven 53	%	the service as a whole. We note that the majority of service users Christian belief. Detailed feedback to the proposal did not
The Office of Nationa on type of disabilities. Religion or Belief Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian	ef (or No Be All Haringey 254,926 114,659	elief) % 5 45.0%	Haven 53 27	% 50.9%	the service as a whole. We note that the majority of service users Christian belief. Detailed feedback to the proposal did not differing impacts on service users on the
The Office of Nationa on type of disabilities. Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian Buddhist	ef (or No Be All Haringey 254,926 114,659 2,829	% 6 9 45.0% 9 1.1%	Haven 53 27 0	% 50.9% 0.0%	the service as a whole. We note that the majority of service users Christian belief. Detailed feedback to the proposal did not
The Office of Nationa on type of disabilities. Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian Buddhist Hindu	All Haringey 254,926 114,659 2,829 4,539	elief) % 5 9 45.0% 9 1.1% 9 1.8%	Haven 53 27 0 1	% 50.9% 0.0% 1.9%	the service as a whole. We note that the majority of service users Christian belief. Detailed feedback to the proposal did not differing impacts on service users on the
The Office of Nationa on type of disabilities. Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish	ef (or No Be All Haringey 254,926 114,659 2,829 4,539 7,643	% 6 9 45.0% 9 1.1% 9 1.8% 3 3.0%	Haven 53 27 0 1 1	% 50.9% 0.0% 1.9% 1.9%	the service as a whole. We note that the majority of service users Christian belief. Detailed feedback to the proposal did not differing impacts on service users on the
The Office of Nationa on type of disabilities. Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslin	All Haringey 254,926 114,659 2,829 4,539 7,643 36,130	% % 45.0% 1.1% 1.8% 3.0% 14.2%	Haven 53 27 0 1 1 1 0	% 50.9% 0.0% 1.9% 1.9% 0%	the service as a whole.We note that the majority of service users Christian belief.Detailed feedback to the proposal did not differing impacts on service users on the
The Office of Nationa on type of disabilities. Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish	ef (or No Be All Haringey 254,926 114,659 2,829 4,539 7,643	% % 45.0% 1.1% 1.8% 3.0% 14.2%	Haven 53 27 0 1 1	% 50.9% 0.0% 1.9% 1.9%	the service as a whole. We note that the majority of service users Christian belief. Detailed feedback to the proposal did not differing impacts on service users on the

No Religion	64,202	25.2%	6 0	0.0			
Not Stated	22,813	8.9%	6 C	0.0			
Race & Ethnicity					People from the black		There is no restriction by race & ethnicity status across the service as a whole.
Race & Ethnicity:	All Haringey	%	Haven	%	ethnic group would be the most		The majority 67% of the respondents strongly did not support the closure of Haven Day Centre.
All people	254,926		53		affected by		Detailed feedback to the proposal did not indicate
White	154,343	60.5%	21	39.6%	the closure		differing impacts on service users on the grounds
Mixed	16,548	6.5%	1	1.9%	of the Haven day		of their race and ethnicity.
Asian	24,150	9.5%	6	11.3%	centre		
Black	47,830	18.8%	25	47.2%			
Other ethnic group	12,055	4.7%	0	0.0%			
Sexual Orientatio	on					not indicate the status for service users on sexual orientation.	for information regarding this characteristic, the pattern of responses did not differ from the general pattern. Detailed feedback to the proposal did not indicate differing impacts on service users on the grounds of their sexual orientation status.
Pregnancy & Mat	ernity					Available data does not indicate the status for service users on pregnancy	The consultation questionnaire asked respondents for information regarding this characteristic, the pattern of responses did not differ from the general pattern.
						and maternity.	Detailed feedback to the proposal did not indicate differing impacts on service users on the grounds of their pregnancy & maternity status.

Marriage and Civil	All	0/		0/	
Partnership:	Haringey	%	Haven	%	
All people over 16					
years old	202,856		53		
Single	101,526	50.0%	2	4%	
Married	67,559	33.3%	14	26.4%	
Separated	8,066	4.0%	6	11.3%	
Divorced	16,646	8.2%	5	9.4%	
Widowed	7,868	3.9%	12	22.6%	
Same-sex civil					
partnership	1,191	0.6%	0	0%	
Not Stated	0	0%	14	26.4%	

							ll this proposal h	ave on the following groups:
Positive and n	egative impa	cts iden	tified will r	need to form		action plan.		
					Positive	Negative	Details	None – why?
Sex						affected workforce contains comparibly more female staff	The recommendation is that all positions at The Haven Day	
Sex:	All LBH staff	%	Haven Staff	%				
All people	2,727		11			(81.8%) compared to the council	Centre will be	
Males	887	32.5%	2	18.2%		generally (67.5%).	made redundant	
Females	1,840	67.5%	9	81.8%		Overall there is a disproportionately	and therefore all staff will be	
						higher impact on female staff.	directly affected by the proposal.	
Gender Reass Data is not ava	•						All employees within this service area will be treated	There will be an opportunity for staff to raise any impacts arising for this characteristic during the consultation.
Age						The potentially affected workforce is comparibly older	equally in accordance with the Council's	
Age:	All LBH staff	%	Haven Staff	%		than the Council workforce. Overall there is a disproportionately	redeployment policy and procedures. As	
All people	2,727		11			higher risk of	this service is recommended	
16-24	34	1.2%	0	0.0%		impact on staff in the 50-64 age	for closure all	
25-39	681	25.0%	1	9.1%		group.	employees will	
40-49	822	30.1%	3	27.3%			be consulted	
50-64	1,138	41.7%	7	63.6%			with for 45 days and all council	
65 +	52	1.9%	0	0.0%			procedures will	
				·			apply; and	

							where possible they will be offer	
					Positive	Negative	alternative employment	None – why?
Disability						under the council's redeployment	The workforce is comparably balanced between disabled and non disabled staff in comparision to the council	
Dischillen	All LBH	0/	Haven	%			procedure.	generally; we do not consider there is risk of a disproportionate impact on
Disability:	staff	%	Staff					this characteristic.
All people	2,727	4.0.00(11	0.101				Disabled staff will be treated fairly
Disabled Staff	282	10.3%	1	9.1%				during the consultation and
Nam Disabled Ctaff	1 775	65.1%	7	63.6%				redeployment process.
Non Disabled Staff	1,775							
Not Stated Race & Ethnicity	670	24.6%	3	27.3%		The potentially		
Not Stated	670 All LBH	24.6%	3 Haven			affected workforce contains comparibly more		
Not Stated	670		3	27.3%		affected workforce contains comparibly more White staff		
Not Stated	670 All LBH	24.6%	3 Haven			affected workforce contains comparibly more		
Not Stated Race & Ethnicity Race & Ethnicity:	670 All LBH staff	24.6%	3 Haven Staff			affected workforce contains comparibly more White staff (54.5%) compared to the council generally (54.5%).		
Not Stated Race & Ethnicity Race & Ethnicity: All people	670 All LBH staff 2,727	24.6% %	3 Haven Staff 11	%		affected workforce contains comparibly more White staff (54.5%) compared to the council generally (54.5%). Overall there is a		
Not Stated Race & Ethnicity Race & Ethnicity: All people White	670 All LBH staff 2,727 1,219	24.6% % 44.7%	3 Haven Staff 11 6	% 54.5%		affected workforce contains comparibly more White staff (54.5%) compared to the council generally (54.5%). Overall there is a disproportionately higher impact on		
Not Stated Race & Ethnicity Race & Ethnicity: All people White Mixed	670 All LBH staff 2,727 1,219 100	24.6% % 44.7% 3.7%	3 Haven Staff 11 6 2	% 54.5% 18.2%		affected workforce contains comparibly more White staff (54.5%) compared to the council generally (54.5%). Overall there is a disproportionately		
Not Stated Race & Ethnicity Race & Ethnicity: All people White Mixed Asian	670 All LBH staff 2,727 1,219 100 263	24.6% % 44.7% 3.7% 9.6%	3 Haven Staff 11 6 2 1	% 54.5% 18.2% 9.1%		affected workforce contains comparibly more White staff (54.5%) compared to the council generally (54.5%). Overall there is a disproportionately higher impact on		
Not Stated Race & Ethnicity Race & Ethnicity: All people White Mixed Asian Black	670 All LBH staff 2,727 1,219 100 263 1,009	24.6% % 44.7% 3.7% 9.6% 37.0%	3 Haven Staff 11 6 2 1 1 1	% 54.5% 18.2% 9.1% 9.1%		affected workforce contains comparibly more White staff (54.5%) compared to the council generally (54.5%). Overall there is a disproportionately higher impact on		

					Positive	Negative	None – why?
							There is insufficient data to allow an anaylsis of the impact of the
Sexual Orientatio							recommendations on staff in
Sexual	All LBH		Haven	%			consideration of the sexual orientation
Orientation:	staff	%	Staff				status.
All people	2,727		11				There will be an opportunity for staff t
Bi-Sexual	8	0.3%	0	0.0%			raise any impacts arising for this
Gay Man	12	0.4%	0	0.0%			characteristic during the consultation.
Hetrosexual	528	19.4%	0	0.0%			
Lesbian	4	0.1%	0	0.0%			
Prefer not to say	188	6.9%	0	0.0%			
Not Declared Religion or Belie	1,987 f (or No Bel	72.9%	11	100.0%			anaylsis of the impact of the recommendations on staff in
Not Declared Religion or Belie Religion or Belief		lief)	11 Haven				recommendations on staff in consideration of their religion or belie
Religion or Belie	f (or No Bel			100.0%			anaylsis of the impact of the recommendations on staff in consideration of their religion or belief There will be an opportunity for staff t
Religion or Belie Religion or Belief	f (or No Bel	lief)	Haven				anaylsis of the impact of the
Religion or Belie Religion or Belief (or No Belief):	f (or No Bel All LBH staff	lief)	Haven Staff				anaylsis of the impact of the recommendations on staff in consideration of their religion or belief There will be an opportunity for staff t raise any impacts arising for this
Religion or Belie Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people	f (or No Bel All LBH staff 2,727	ief) %	Haven Staff 11	%			anaylsis of the impact of the recommendations on staff in consideration of their religion or belief There will be an opportunity for staff t raise any impacts arising for this
Religion or Belie Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian	f (or No Bel All LBH staff 2,727 335	ief) % 12.3%	Haven Staff 11 0	% 0.0%			anaylsis of the impact of the recommendations on staff in consideration of their religion or belief There will be an opportunity for staff t raise any impacts arising for this
Religion or Belie Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian Buddhist	f (or No Bel All LBH staff 2,727 335 2	ief) % 12.3% 0.1%	Haven Staff 11 0 0	% 0.0% 0.0%			anaylsis of the impact of the recommendations on staff in consideration of their religion or belief There will be an opportunity for staff t raise any impacts arising for this
Religion or Belie Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian Buddhist Hindu	f (or No Bel All LBH staff 2,727 335 2 16	ief) % 12.3% 0.1% 0.6%	Haven Staff 11 0 0 0	% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%			anaylsis of the impact of the recommendations on staff in consideration of their religion or belief There will be an opportunity for staff t raise any impacts arising for this
Religion or Belie Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish	f (or No Bel All LBH staff 2,727 335 2 16 5	ief) % 12.3% 0.1% 0.6% 0.2%	Haven Staff 11 0 0 0 0 0	% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%			anaylsis of the impact of the recommendations on staff in consideration of their religion or belief There will be an opportunity for staff t raise any impacts arising for this
Religion or Belie Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslin	f (or No Bel All LBH staff 2,727 335 2 16 5 52	ief) % 12.3% 0.1% 0.6% 0.2% 1.9%	Haven Staff 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%			anaylsis of the impact of the recommendations on staff in consideration of their religion or belief There will be an opportunity for staff t raise any impacts arising for this
Religion or Belie Religion or Belief (or No Belief): All people Christian Buddhist Hindu Jewish Muslin Sikh	f (or No Bel All LBH staff 2,727 335 2 16 5 52 7	ief) % 12.3% 0.1% 0.6% 0.2% 1.9% 0.3%	Haven Staff 11 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%			anaylsis of the impact of the recommendations on staff in consideration of their religion or belief There will be an opportunity for staff t raise any impacts arising for this

					Positive	Negative	None – why?
Pregnancy & Mate	ernity						There will be an opportunity for staff to
Data not available	9						raise any impacts arising for this characteristic during the consultation.
Marriage and Civi	il Partners	hip					There is insufficient data to allow an
(note this only ap	plies in re	lation to	eliminatir	ng			anaylsis of the impact of the
unlawful discrimi	nation (lim	ıb 1))		-			recommendations on staff in consideration of their Marriage and Civil Partnership status.
Marriage and Civil	All LBH		Haven				There will be an opportunity for staff to
Partnership:	staff	%	Staff	%			raise any impacts arising for this
All people over 16 years old	2,727		11				characteristic during the consultation.
Single	550	20.2%	0	0.0%			
Married	253	9.3%	2	18.2%			
Separated	2	0.1%	0	0.0%			
Divorced	24	0.9%	1	9.1%			
Widowed	2	0.1%	0	0.0%			
Same-sex civil	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
partnership	0	0.0%	0	0.0%			
Not Stated	1,896	69.5%	8	72.7%			

Stage 6 - Initial Impact analysis	Actions to mitigate, advance equality or fill gaps in information		
(This initial analysis was completed in June 2015 – before the consultation process.) Inherent risks:	(This initial analysis was completed in June 2015 – before the consultation process.) The new model aims to maximise the resource that we have for service delivery and target the available resources based on 1) providing equity for all service users, 2) preventing and delaying the need for long term care.		
In-house services have good quality outcomes. They are usually highly valued by customers and their families. It is proposed to develop a new range of provision for older people with less reliance on traditional buildings based services.			
The closure of the Haven centre and move towards community-based services will result in changes in care provided for some existing customers. There is an inherent risk that the loss of the centre will lead to the loss of day activities for some users if they are not supported to find alternative day activities in the community. Actions will be taken to mitigate this inherent risk (see opposite column).	Service users and staff will be engaged as part of the consultation. Their views will be fed into the full Equalities Impact Assessment, which will return to Cabinet following the consultation period. This will include more detailed analysis of the impact of closing The Haven Day Centre.		
There is also a risk of anxiety amongst existing service users during the changes, resulting in comment and complaints from customers and from their families. Actions will be taken to mitigate this inherent risk (see opposite column)	People will continue to receive an assessment of their needs in accordance with the Adult Social Care Eligibility Criteria as defined by the Care Act and will take account of issues of gender, race, age, faith, disability and sexuality.		
 Risks identified through equalities impact assessment: Over 50% of service users are aged 80 years and over and may pose particular risk in identifying alternative options within the community. 71.7% of the Haven's service users have a physical or sensory impairment which may 	The overall aim of the service re design will be to develop a range of community services that will support social inclusion, ensure that people are treated equitably and will enable people with an assessed need to access mainstream community activities wherever possible, enabling them to lead full and active lives in their communities.		
impact on the alternative activities and locations that they can access within the community. We will work with service users and providers of community services to ensure suitable availability of opportunity for adults 80 years and above and people with physical or sensory impairment. All service users will have a reassessment/review of their needs, with a view to identifying satisfactory alternative provision to meet assessed needs. Service users will be encouraged to use Personal Budgets to access any support required to meet assessed need. Following the reassessment or review, if, for any reason, there are service users who no longer have an eligible need, we would work closely with the service user to identify appropriate support. There would be a reduction in the number of staff directly employed by the council and a separate EqIA will be carried out into the equality impact of this change.	 In order to implement this work, a project team, including practitioners will plan pathways for people and work with them and their families to understand the benefits of the independent living model. We will also work closely with the Haringey Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) and the Health and Wellbeing Board. To mitigate the effects of the proposals we will: Review/ reassess the needs of the centres' current service users, with a view to identifying satisfactory alternative provision to meet assessed needs. Work with service users to co-design the new model for day opportunities. Work with the community and identify alternative options for day opportunities, to develop more choice for service users. We will work with the Neighbourhood Connects project, and other community capacity building 		

projects delivered/commissioned by the Council to enable a greater number	
of service users to be involved in their local communities and activities.	
Encourage the use of Personal Budgets amongst service users to access any	
support required to meet assessed need. Council commissioned support and	
information and advice services will help service users make the most of their	
Personal Budget.	

Stage 7 - Consultation and follow up data from actions set above			
Data Source (include link where published)	What does this data include?		
Consultation on the three proposals were undertaken from 1 July to 1 October 2015. An independent Advocacy Provider (LDX) was available for individuals during the public consultation meetings to help people to express their wishes and feelings, support them in weighing up their options and assist them in making their own decisions regarding the proposed changes to services.	118 people responded to Proposal 1 and 67% of responses 'strongly did not support' the closure of the Haven Day centre and 16% of responses 'did not support the closure.There were three overarching themes in the responses opposing the proposal to close the Haven Day Centre:		
	 Staff/Quality of Services currently provided at the Haven Day Centre Respite provision for families/carers Reduction of isolation and deteriorating mental health. 		

Stage 8 - Final impact analysis

Risks identified through initial equalities impact assessment:

Over 50% of service users are aged 80 years and over and may pose particular risk in identifying alternative options within the community.

71.7% of the Haven's service users have a physical or sensory impairment which may impact on the alternative activities and locations (currently transport is provided by the Council) that they can access within the community.

Responses to the consultation referred to the benefits of the existing service including the provision of a safe and familiar environment. The risks identified in the responses opposing the proposal to close the Haven Day Centre:

- 1) The loss of high quality of service staff currently provided at the Haven Day Centre
- 2) The loss of respite provision provided by the Haven and the lack of knowledge of any alternative provision
- 3) Isolation and deteriorating mental health the centre provides the service users with opportunities to make friends and feel a sense of purpose.
- 4) The loss of the centre will lead to the loss of day activities for some users if they are not supported to find alternative day activities in the community.
- 5) Anxiety amongst existing service users and their families/carers during the changes.

Mitigation

The concerns relating to the availability and suitability of alternative provision is mitigated by evidence that The Haven support is limited, (currently supporting 48 adults), and that there is a mature community sector in Haringey that already exists that meets the needs of older adults with similar needs, with the use of their personal budget.

We will support service users to identify and access a range of community services that will support social inclusion, ensure that people are treated equitably and will enable people with an assessed need to access mainstream community activities wherever possible, enabling them to lead full and active lives in their communities.

To mitigate the effects of the proposals we will:

- 1) Review/ reassess the needs of the centres' current service users, with a view to identifying satisfactory alternative provision to meet assessed needs.
- 2) Work with the community and identify alternative options for day opportunities, to develop more choice for service users currently older adults unable to access the Haven are supported in the community through the use of the personal budget. We will work with the Neighbourhood Connects project, and other community capacity building projects delivered/commissioned by the Council to enable all service users to be involved in their local communities, taking into their age and disabilities.
- 3) Encourage the use of Personal Budgets amongst service users to access any support required to meet assessed need including transportation. Council commissioned support and information and advice services will help service users make the most of their Personal Budget.
- 4) Work with service users and providers of community services to ensure suitable availability of opportunity for adults 80 years and above and people with physical or sensory impairment. All service users will have a reassessment/review of their needs, with a view to identifying satisfactory alternative provision to meet assessed needs. Service users will be encouraged to use Personal Budgets to access any support required to meet assessed need. Following the reassessment or review, if, for any reason, there are service users who no longer have an eligible need, we would work closely with the service user to identify appropriate support.

Additionally:

- 1) Officers will (i) carefully monitor the development of these proposals; (ii) continue to have "due regard" to the Public Sector Equalities Duties during the implementation process;
- 2) There will be monitoring and oversight of the implementation of the recommendations through i) the Strategic Healthy Lives Priority Board (which has

oversight of the strategic and operational delivery of the various service proposals), ii) Transformation Group (which provides scrutiny and challenge to the delivery of the Transformation proposals/plans and ongoing monitioring of quality and performance), iii) the Director and Lead member for Health and Wellbeing. In addition the transformation is subject to scrutiny by the Council's overview and Scrutiny Committee and the Adults and Health Scrutiny panel.

Note: Should the recommendations be agreed there will be formal consultation with staff and a further equalities analysis for the impact on the workforce will be carried out via an additional EQIA.

Stage 9 - Equality Impact Assessment Review Log				
Review approved by Director / Assistant Director		Date of review		
Review approved by Director / Assistant Director		Date of review		

Stage 10 – Publication

Ensure the completed EqIA is published in accordance with the Council's policy.